

# HUMAN CREMATORY



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 11: DATE: <u>9/8/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:30 am</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00 am</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ST. LUCIE CREMATORY				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1101 South Hwy #1				
FT. PIERCE 34950				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: T Email: TC31458@aol.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/9/2007 / 9/9/2012 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(772)461-7000		
Facility Section         PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE       SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom Conway		(check $\square$ only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes:				
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still THOMAS CO If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	DNWAY?	YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:	e update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ⊠ Yes ☐No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least				

#### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 – Matthews Power Pak II gas fired cremation unit</u>

<del>.</del>			
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
		DOA IOI CUCII	question,
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		
	after August 30, 1989?	Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Xes Yes	No
2	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	$\square$ Yes	No
	Date of last inspection: 9/18/2009		
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	<b>N</b>	
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
	operation? N/A	Yes	No
	d. Date of last VE test: 9/18/2009	—	_
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	X Yes	No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		No
ų	If no, what was the problem (if known)?		
D۸	ART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING		
1 1	INT II: VISIDLE ENHISSIONS TESTING	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1			
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		No
l	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		No
Ì	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- 🛛 Yes	No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		
Ì	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		
	(5%) opacity, six-initiate average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% spacity shall be anowed for up to six initiates	In any one nou.,	
2	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Ves	□No
∠.	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		=
			L.No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
Ì	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		<b>—</b>
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa		
		Yes	🖾No
	If yes, what reason?		
l			
			<u>=</u>
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	•
			-
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	_	_
	Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
		(1 10)	
2	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	∐ Yes	🖾No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence	_	
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1 \boxtimes 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes	No
	(Application or initial notification: <sup>1</sup> received on or after 8/30/89; <sup>2</sup> received before 8/30/89)		

#### PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	Yes	No
	<ol> <li>all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ol>	<ul> <li>X Yes</li> <li>X Yes</li> <li>X Yes</li> <li>X Yes</li> <li>X Yes</li> <li>X Yes</li> </ul>	No No No No No No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes	No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$ ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	🖾No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	lly Ves	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes	No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No

#### PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

(check  $\square$  only one box for each question)

1.	If the application to construct was <b><u>BEFORE</u></b> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes	□No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? Yes	No
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	_
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the cremation	LNo
	process begins in the primary chamber? $\square$ Yes	No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		(check 🗹 box for each	-
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	🖾No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	Yes Yes	□No ⊠No

	box for each	only one question)
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🛛 Yes	No
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	🛛 Yes	No
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🛛 Yes	No
<ul> <li>a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?</li> <li>b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?</li> </ul>		□No □No

<b>PART VII:</b> EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		

## Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representativassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li> </ol>	s or Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li></ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No

Michelle Robinson-Austin

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/8/2010

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:**